





prostep ivip / VDA Recommendation

Vehicle Electric Container (VEC)

Version 1.2

Disclaimer

VDA / prostep ivip Recommendations (VDA / PSI Recommendations) are recommendations that are available for general use. Anyone using these recommendations is responsible for ensuring that they are used correctly. This VDA / PSI Recommendation gives due consideration to the prevailing state-of-the-art at the time of publication. Anyone using VDA / PSI Recommendations must assume responsibility for his or her actions and acts at her/his own risk. The prostep ivip Association, the VDA and the parties involved in drawing up the VDA / PSI Recommendation assume no liability whatsoever. We request that anyone encountering an error or the possibility of an incorrect interpretation when using the VDA / PSI Recommendation should contact the prostep ivip Association (psiissues@prostep.com) immediately so that any errors can be rectified.

Copyright

- All rights to this VDA/PSI Recommendation, in particular the copyright rights of use, and sale such as the right to duplicate, distribute or publish the recommendation, remain exclusively with the prostep ivip Association and the VDA as well as their members.
- 2. This VDA/PSI Recommendation may be duplicated and distributed unchanged, for instance for use in the context of creating software or services.
- 3. It is not permitted to change or edit this VDA/PSI Recommendation.
- 4. A suitable notice indicating the copyright owner and the restrictions on use must always appear.

Contents

D	isclaim	er	2
С	opyrigh	ıt	2
С	ontents)	3
L	ist of fig	jures	5
1	Gen	eral	9
	1.1	Preamble	9
	1.2	Objectives of the recommendation	9
	1.3	Changes to preceding versions	10
	1.4	Compatibility to preceding versions	12
	1.5	Document structure	13
	1.6	Abbreviations, terms and definitions	13
	1.7	Reference	13
2	Exe	mplary business use cases	14
	2.1	UC1: Exchange of components data (part master data)	14
	2.2	UC2: Exchange of connectivity data	14
	2.3	UC3: Exchange of geometry data	15
	2.4	UC4: Exchange of wiring harness data	16
	2.5	UC5: Exchange of an integrated model of a complete vehicle network	16
3	Req	uirements on the VEC	18
	3.1	Requirements in the PDM context / addressing PDM data	18
	3.1.8	Baselines	20
	3.2	Requirements addressing variance information	20
	3.3	Requirements out of the physical harness perspective	22
	3.3.11	Definition and reuse of complex parts	25
	3.4	Additional requirements out of the component description perspective	25
	3.5	Additional requirements out of the geometry/topology perspective	27
	3.6	Additional requirements out of the connectivity perspective	30
	3.7	Cross-sectional requirements	32
	3.7.4	Orthogonal grouping concept	32
	3.7.5	Application Constraints	33

	3.8	Other / technical requirements	. 33
4	Gen	eral Guidelines	. 36
	4.1	Handling of Identifiers	. 36
	4.2	Extension Mechanisms	. 37
	4.3	Type Inheritance	. 37
	4.4	Default- and Missing-Value Handling	. 37
	4.5	Instantiation of Model Structures	. 38
	4.6	VEC-Package	. 39
5	Mod	lel of the VEC data format	. 41
	5.1	Key Concepts	. 41
	5.2	Basic Datatypes	. 48
	5.3	PDM Information	. 55
	5.4	General Component Data	. 58
	5.5	Component Characteristics	67
	5.6	EE-Components	. 76
	5.7	Instances of Components	. 79
	5.8	Composite Part Descriptions	. 88
	5.9	Topology and Geometry	94
	5.10	Connectivity	109
	5.11	External Mapping	117
	5.12	XML Representation of the Model	119
6	Арр	endix A: Glossary	122
7	Арр	endix B: Data Model Description	123
	7.1	Module assignment_groups	123
	7.2	Module contacting	127
	7.3	Module core	131
	7.4	Module coupling	153
	7.5	Module custom_properties	157
	7.6	Module electrical_parts	160
	7.7	Module external_mapping	213
	7.8	Module geo_2d2	214
	7.9	Module geo_3d2	220

prostep ivip / VDA Recommendation	Page 5 of 355

7.10	Module instancing	228
7.11	Module instancing_electrical_parts	231
7.12	Module instancing_non_electrical_parts	248
7.13	Module instructions	252
7.14	Module local_geometry	254
7.15	Module mapping	256
7.16	Module modules	258
7.17	Module net	260
7.18	Module non_electrical_parts	265
7.19	Module part_structure	276
7.20	Module part_usage	278
7.21	Module pdm	279
7.22	Module physical_information	288
7.23	Module pin_wire_mapping	304
7.24	Module placeable_element	304
7.25	Module placement	307
7.26	Module requirements_conformance	313
7.27	Module routing	314
7.28	Module schematic	315
7.29	Module signal	322
7.30	Module terminal_pairing	327
7.31	Module topology	328
7.32	Module usage_constraint	344
7.33	Module usage_node	346
7.34	Module variants	348
7.35	Module VEC	354
List of	figures	
Figure 1	: Parts and Documents	41
Figure 2	: Assignment Group	. 44

Figure 3: Variants	45
Figure 4: Variant Structure	46
Figure 5: Usage Node	47
Figure 6: Usage Constraints	48
Figure 7: Localization of Strings	49
Figure 8: Numerical Values & Units	50
Figure 9: Extensibility with Custom Properties	51
Figure 10: Foundation Classes for Physical Properties	52
Figure 11: Alias Identifications	53
Figure 12: Open and Closed Enumerations	53
Figure 13: Open and Closed Pattern Restrictions	54
Figure 14: Lifecycle Information	55
Figure 15: Baselines	56
Figure 16: Item History	57
Figure 17: Item Equivalence	58
Figure 18: Description of Parts	59
Figure 19: General Technical Part	61
Figure 20: Supplementary Parts	61
Figure 21: Placeable Elements	62
Figure 22: Coordinate Systems of Components	64
Figure 23: Part Substitutions	65
Figure 24: Conformance to Requirements	66
Figure 25: Wire	67
Figure 26: Terminals	69
Figure 27: Wire End Accessory	70
Figure 28: Terminal Pairing	70
Figure 29: Connector Housings	71
Figure 30: Cavity Mapping	72
Figure 31: Cavity Seals and Cavity Plugs	73
Figure 32: Wire Protections	73
Figure 33: Fixings, Cable Ducts, Cable Ties and Similar	74
Figure 34: Grommets	75

Figure 35: EE-Components	76
Figure 36: EE-Component subclasses	77
Figure 37: Multi Fuse	77
Figure 38: Pinning Information & Pinning Variance	78
Figure 39: Instantiation of Components	79
Figure 40: Instances of Wires	81
Figure 41: Instances of Terminals	82
Figure 42: Instances of Connector Housings	82
Figure 43: Instances of Cavity Seals and Cavity Plugs	83
Figure 44: Instances of EE-Components	83
Figure 45: Instances of Wire Protections	84
Figure 46: Instances of Fixings, Cable Ducts, Cable Ties and Similar	84
Figure 47: Instances of Grommets	85
Figure 48: Instances of undefined Components	85
Figure 49: Instances of Placeable Components	86
Figure 50: Installation Instructions	87
Figure 51: Multi-level Part Structure	88
Figure 52: Assemblies, Modules and Harness (Configurations)	90
Figure 53: Instantiation Approaches	92
Figure 54: Harness and Variants	94
Figure 55: Topology- and Topology Group Specification	95
Figure 56: Topology Zones	96
Figure 57: Hierarchical Topologies	97
Figure 58: Bending Restrictions	99
Figure 59: 2D-Geometry	100
Figure 60: 3D-Geometry	102
Figure 61: 3D Curves	104
Figure 62: Locations	104
Figure 63: Placement and Dimensions	105
Figure 64: Default Dimensions	107
Figure 65: Routing	108
Figure 66: Signal Specification	109
PSI 21 / VDA 4968 Vehicle Electric Container (VEC) / V 1.2 / June 2020	

Figure 67: Net Specification	110
Figure 68: Connection Specification	111
Figure 69: Wiring Specification	113
Figure 70: Contacting Specification	114
Figure 71: Coupling Specification	115
Figure 72: Wire Grouping Specification	116
Figure 73: Pin Wire Mapping	117
Figure 74: External Mapping	118
Figure 75: VEC-Root	119

1 General

1.1 Preamble

The complexity of today's vehicle electrical systems is constantly growing. A vast variety of options is on the market. Firmly organized and integrated cross-company development processes are essential, combined with powerful, integrated IT infrastructures to support all cross stakeholders.

Against this background, the prostep ivip project group "Vehicle Electrical Systems Workflow Forum" and its predecessors have developed standardised data formats for the uniform description of wiring harnesses and related data. Providing the Harness Description List (KBL, PSI 19/VDA 4964) and supplementing schemas was a leap forward regarding the improvement of car electric development processes and their integration in the development processes for complete vehicles.

However, supporting the whole electric development processes and providing an integrated view on the complete electrical network of a vehicle was not in the scope of the provided specifications. Additional use cases must be addressed. The objective of the prostep ivip project group "Vehicle Electrical Systems Workflow Forum" is to collect these use cases and specify the Vehicle Electric Container (VEC) based on them as the required standardised data format in this context.

The VEC data format specification harmonizes and integrates the already existing solutions with the newly gathered requirements. The VEC data format specification addresses a significantly extended amount of use cases, focussing not only on one single wiring harness but on an electric system as a whole. The VEC data format specification supports a great variety of data exchange use cases all along the electric system development process.

The definition of the VEC was done with a focus on the requirements of the automotive industry. However, it is not restricted to this domain and it is expected that the VEC specification is applicable in aerospace industry and others as well.

1.2 Objectives of the recommendation

This Recommendation contains the specification of the VEC data format with the objective to

- Define a commonly agreed vocabulary and object semantics for the domain of the electrical design process in vehicles
- Facilitate data exchange between development and business partners in the context of physical electric system development and production planning
- Enable tool integration as well as tool-spanning traceability and tool-spanning change management
- Reduce complexity and at the same time increase flexibility by better decoupling tools and data
- Support paperless processes
- Provide a perspective for a solution for requirement in the context of long-term preservation

Concrete use cases are described in chapter 2.

Note: The VEC data format definition is explicitly not intended to be interpreted as a recommendation for the definition of the internal database structure of software tools.

1.3 Changes to preceding versions

Between this Version (1.2) and the direct predecessor (Version 1.1) over 190 individual issues have been addressed. The following section lists the main subjects that have been changed, improved or added. A complete and detailed change history is available in the ECAD Wiki and in the issue tracking system.

Changes that affect the resulting schema in an incompatible way are marked with a "X" in the last column. For more details on compatibility see Chapter 1.4.

Change	Inc.
Reorganization of the Model Outline (Chapter 5)	
Added "General Guidelines" for requirements on VEC implementations that are not strictly related to the model structure (Chapter 4).	
Added model documentation to the generated XML Schema files.	
General orthogonal grouping concept to represent functional mappings and requirements (see AssignmentGroup)	
Added concept for the instantiation of topologies.	
Added concept for hierarchical topologies supporting multiple use case (e.g. better traceability between geometry and harness process, splice position optimization, layered segments with a defined inner structure, composite segments,)	
Added concept for assigning topologies to zones.	
Completely revised the interpretation of Net- & ConnectionSpecification (Architectural Layer & System Schematic)	X
Refactoring of the multi-core representation	Х
Added support for FIT-Rates for components	
Added concept to express conformance with requirements (see RequirementsConformanceSpecification)	
Added concept to define application constraints on instances (e.g. component nodes) (see ApplicationConstraint)	
Added concept for common variant configurations (base inclusion)	
Added concept to define system schematic traceability for directly mated E/E components.	
Added concept to define multicores in their usage (similar to twisted pairs)	
Added concept for traceability between wires and their respective fusing.	

Added concept to define bending restrictions on topologies.	
Added concept to define baselines (well defined sets of ItemVersions)	
Added concept to integrate with the 3D geometries of individual components (e.g. bounding box,	
Added concept for default tolerance definitions	
Added concept for wire addons in connectors.	
Allowed part usage (component instances without part number) in the bill of material.	
Added support for component selection tables.	
Added concepts to support 150% E/E component definitions.	
Added concepts for the description of fuse boxes and other E/E-Components	
internal connectivityvariance of internal connectivitymodularity	
Improved modification tracking / change detection for the digital representation of documents (independent from the approval process in the domain)	
Refactored 3D representation of segments. Dropped current 3D-curve model and replaced it by complete representation of NURBS.	Х
Added concept for integrated terminals and supplementary components in different contacting situations (e.g. wire fixations)	
Clarification that contact points are free of variance.	
Refactored attributes for compatibility definitions between terminals, plugs, cavities, seals and wires.	Х
Added concept for flat band wires and flat cores.	
Dropped support for conformance classes.	X
Added support for grouping component ports by connector.	X
Definition of complex part relations	
Support for complex custom properties und multiple primitive types.	
Added support for hierarchical structures on variant groups and added multiple attributes to the classes in the variant configuration scope.	
Added support for grommets sealed with additional single wire seals.	
Refactored concept for supplementary parts of components in specified locations (e.g. Slots)	X

Added support for diodes	
Added support for cable ties	
Added support for multi-fuses	

The following list contains all minor changes, that affected schema compatibility.

Refactored and renamed "ContactSystem" to TerminalPairing	Χ
Path mistakenly inherited from ConfigurableElement	Χ
Moved "referenceElement" Association from PartOccurrence to OccurrenceOrUsage	X
Refactoring of WireProtectionRole, introduction of TapeRole	Х
Redefined semantics for ConnectionGroup and NetGroup	Χ
Removed SealingClass and AbrasionResistanceClass (replaced by general concept RobustnessProperties).	
Removed CompatibilityStatement & CompatibilitySpecification	
Refactored modular slot definition (now using indirect references with PartVersion)	X
Refactored CopyrightInformation	Х
Moved attribute TerminalSpecification.angle to WireReception	Х
Removed Signal from Net-Layer	Х
Refactoring of SheetOrChapter	Х
Deprecation of CavityDesign in TerminalReceptionSpecification and CavitySpecification	Х

1.4 Compatibility to preceding versions

Version 1.2 is an extension of version 1.1. Model changes and extensions are guided by the fundamental principle of keeping already implemented concepts downward compatible as far as possible. However, this was not possible in all cases.

Compatibility is defined in the context of this document as the possibility that XML documents created for version 1.1 are still (schema) valid version 1.2 documents. In that sense, incompatible changes will result in schema validation errors if the version 1.1 file uses the affected model elements. Such changes are listed in Chapter 1.3 explicitly.

Additionally, version 1.2 introduces a large amount of open enumerations. As this reduces the degree of freedom in the model it is very likely that version 1.1 VEC files will not validate against the 1.2 strict schema.

Other changes that might be interpreted as incompatible, even without producing schema validation errors, are all improved or clarified documentations, as it might occur that earlier interpretations are now explicitly invalid model interpretations.

All VEC implementations that currently use custom properties for elements that have now (introduced with this version) explicit concepts should be changed accordingly.

1.5 Document structure

Chapter 2 describes some exemplary use cases for the application of the VEC data format.

Chapter 3 breaks down the requirements that the VEC data format specification has to meet.

Chapter 4 explains general concepts and guidelines that apply in a more crosssectional way and cannot be linked to a specific individual model element.

Chapter 5 explains the meta model of the VEC data format and explains the concrete XML-based syntax of the VEC data format.

Appendix A contains a glossary for the most common abbreviations.

Appendix B contains the detailed meta model specification with a definition of all classes, attributes and relationships in alphabetical arrangement.

1.6 Abbreviations, terms and definitions

See Appendix A for a list of relevant abbreviations, terms and definitions.

1.7 Reference

Further information about this recommendation and related documents and specifications (e.g. the VEC.xsd) are available from

- The VDA and its working party PLM (see http://www.vda.de)
- The prostep ivip Association respectively the project groups VES Workflow Forum and ECAD-implementer forum (see https://ecad-wiki.prostep.org/en/medialibrary/publications, https://ecad-wiki.prostep.org/)

In addition to that, special reference goes to the recommendation PSI 19 / VDA 4964 Harness Description List (KBL) as previous recommendation.